



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
COLORADO RIVER VALLEY FIELD OFFICE
2300 River Frontage Road
Silt, CO 81652



IN REPLY REFER TO:
1610

February 9, 2017

ERRATA SHEET

Corrections to the Roan Plateau Planning Area Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment (BLM/CO/PL-17/002)

After printing the Roan Plateau Planning Area Record of Decision (ROD) and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment (ARMPA), the BLM has identified the following corrections to the document. These corrections have been made to the electronic PDF files maintained by the Colorado River Valley Field Office. The online PDF version will be updated periodically to coincide with the errata sheet.

1. **Appendix E - Management and Setting Prescriptions for Lands with Wilderness Characteristics.** Appendix E - Management and Setting Prescriptions for Lands with Wilderness Characteristics should not have been included in the list of appendices because as management action #LWC-MA-01 states: "No areas would be managed specifically to maintain wilderness characteristics." Since no lands are being managed to maintain wilderness characteristics, there is no need for the management or setting prescriptions identified in Appendix E.
2. **Lands with Wilderness Characteristics Goal # LWC-GOAL-01 and Objective #LWC-OBJECTIVE-01, Page 2-23.** Goal # LWC-GOAL-01 and Objective #LWC-OBJECTIVE-01 should not have been included in the text. Management action #LWC-MA-01 states "No areas would be managed specifically to maintain wilderness characteristics." So it is contradictory and confusing to have goal #LWC-GOAL-01 and objective #LWC-OBJECTIVE-01 included with conflicting statements of reducing impacts to wilderness characteristics or providing indirect protection of wilderness characteristics.
3. **Streams Eligible for Management Under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Goal # WSR-GOAL-01 and Objective # WSR-OBJECTIVE-01, Page 2-57.** Goal #WSR-GOAL-01 and Objective #WSR-OBJECTIVE-01 should not have been included in the text. Management action WSR-MA-01 states "Determine all eligible rivers in the Planning Area as not suitable for designation and release them from interim management protections afforded eligible segments. This would conclude the suitability study phase for these rivers." So it is contradictory and confusing to have goal #WSR-GOAL-01 and objective #WSR-OBJECTIVE-01 included with conflicting statements of managing suitable river segments to

protect outstanding remarkable values or apply interim protection for all suitable segments.

4. **The East Fork Parachute Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern Objective #ACC-EF-OBJECTIVE-05, Page 2-49.** The East Fork Parachute Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern objective should be the same as objective #ACC-TN-OBJECTIVE-05 for the Trapper/Northwater Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern and state "Minimize direct impacts to streambanks resulting from livestock grazing."
5. **The East Fork Parachute Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern Management Action #ACC-EF-MA-05, Page 2-49.** The East Fork Parachute Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern management action should be the same as management action #ACC-TN-MA-05 for the Trapper/Northwater Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern and state "Monitor livestock grazing impacts at key areas within the ACEC. Make management changes if bank alteration exceeds the allowable amount as defined in AMPs."